IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP NO.14951 of 2006

DATE OF DECISION: October 9, 2006

Shaminder Pal Singh

….Petitioner

VERSUS

Punjab School Education Board, Mohali and others

…..Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINEY MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.BHALLA

PRESENT: Shri Namit Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner.
Ms.Sukhwinder Kaur, Advocate for the respondents.

Viney Mittal,J.(Oral).

The prayer made in the present petition is to quash the result dated June 5,2006 (Annexure P.3), whereby the petitioner has been placed in compartment in the subject of mathematics in his +2 examination held in March 2006.

The primary grievance made by the petitioner is that although there was a provision under the regulations framed by the Board for re-checking of the answer sheets and the petitioner had deposited the requisite fee for re-checking his answer sheets but the said re-checking has not been done.

Ms.Sukhwinder Kaur, the learned counsel appearing for the respondent Board has informed the Court that application filed by the petitioner for re-checking has already been allowed and the re-

checking of the answer sheets has already been done as per regulations but no discrepancies have been found.

At this stage, the learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner is apprehensive that the answer sheets of the petitioner might have been mixed up with some other answer sheets. In these circumstances, the learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner would be satisfied if he is shown the answer sheets.

To remove the aforesaid apprehension of the petitioner, the learned counsel appearing for the respondents has very fairly stated that she has no objection in case the answer sheets are shown to the petitioner. She has accordingly produced the aforesaid answer sheets in Court. The aforesaid answer sheets have been shown to the petitioner, who is present in Court. The petitioner has conceded that the aforesaid answer sheets belong to him.

In view of the aforesaid fact, we do not find any merit in the present petition and the same is dismissed.

(Viney Mittal)
Judge

October 9, 2006
KD

(H.S. Bhalla)
Judge